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**Abstract**

*Besides the fact that sexual relationships have been understood and misunderstood in different ways, the possibility of sexual abuse remains a big issue amongst African South Africans. It has been sexual relationships, amongst other factors that have been widely used to dominate one gender by the other. Sometimes because of their defencelessness women are perceived to enjoy the kind of sexual abuse they are subjected to. It is from attitude that some people, particularly men, come to the conclusion that sexual intercourse is another form of hospitality that can be offered to women. This kind of thinking has been fuelled by the traditional rejection of singlehood or widowhood and other related situations. It is for this reason that polygamy, levirate marriage and cohabitation have crept into the minds of some men. This paper will attempt to unveil how the thinking of sexual intercourse as hospitality has encouraged the domination and abuse of women in the African context. The study will also unveil how the gift of sex has been misunderstood and misinterpreted in order to subject women to sexual violence and harassment.*
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1. **Introduction**

Let me introduce this study by quoting the address given by the MEC for Sports, Recreation and Culture, Pemmy Majodina: “Leave your Tupperware behind. I am sure you know Eastern Cape women are very beautiful. You must leave your partners behind. Two by two is not allowed” (Nteyi, 2014:3). This was one of the opening speeches for the 2014 Arts and Culture Week in Grahamstown, near Port Elizabeth. It is unfortunate that these irresponsible statements were not made by ordinary person, but a government leader and one of the leading female stalwarts of liberation. Besides the reduction of women into simple Tupperware, the others from Eastern Cape are reduced to instruments of hospitality, available for upcoming visitors to the province. The motivation of this kind of thinking is that African or black women are expected to show hospitality to strangers who will be coming from different parts of the country. It is not clear whether the Eastern Cape women are for or against this view, but if they are for it, then one is doubtful if all the females in that province regard themselves as people to stand up for their human rights. It should be understood that women’s rights are firstly human rights. This is the pathology which needs to be arrested and destroyed if we still aim to win the battle of gender imbalances in this country. After hearing this statement the author was quickly moved to think about John Mbiti (1990:143) who indicated that there were areas where sex was used as an expression of hospitality amongst Africans.

The author intends to introduce the engagement of this article by quoting one of the well-known verses from Hebrews 13:2 which says: “Do not neglect to show hospitality to strangers, for by doing that some have entertained angels without knowing it.” The Greek word for hospitality “philoxenia” meaning “love of the stranger” has its direct opposite as “xenophobia” which is “hatred of the stranger” (Vine, 1981:235). In Matthew 25:31 Jesus promised to be with those offering hospitality, which could in that context be understood as solidarity with strangers (Lloyd-Siddle, 2006:142). The practice of hospitality in general has been a very common characteristic for African people. An African without hospitality cannot be imagined or identified in African culture. It is because of this hospitality that Africa as a continent became one of the most exploited continents by colonisers, who ended up taking its resources. Unfortunately, this excessive belief in hospitality has gone too far, creating the thinking in African people that there should even be hospitality in sexual matters. Kyomo and Selvan (2004:85) argue that a male visitor should be offered a daughter or sister for the night by the host. This could also be done with a deserted wife in a form of levirate marriage. African women are identified by their hospitality, hence Oduyoye (2001:91) quoted Mbondo saying: “Women’s tenderness and hospitality, security at home would be impossible for the other sex is not committed to such things. Women’s role in the home as those who make ‘life more poetic’, but admits that paradoxically, they are also the ones who are the object of violence and intimidation.”

The revival of the 16 days of Gender activism is already being initiated with political and community leaders starting to publicly speak about it. It is said that despite celebrating 16 days of gender activism, we are also celebrating 16 years of this activity in South Africa, which is a good reminder to all of us about the gender equality that we are embarking on as a nation. It is within this context that this article intends to unveil sex hospitality as a traditional weapon not only against the subject, but to oppress, abuse and violate women’s rights in the South African context in general. The traditional portrayal of sex as an act of hospitality has been alleged to be an issue amongst some African tribes. It is for this reason that women have been seen as people who should be made available to please men sexually. Some definite taboos and other traditional stereotypes are used to make this belief an acceptable one. For this reason, instead of reducing the gender-related based violence, South Africa is experiencing massive multiplication of the oppression of one gender by the other. Although there has been a growing concern about sex-related offences that are in escalation in South Africa, some of the causes have gone unnoticed. There have been many structural ways in which females are subjected to subordination. One of the perceptions is that women or females should entertain of take care of people, including strangers.

1. **Relevance and method of the study**

It is undeniable that even after 21 years of democracy, women are still viewed as subordinates to men in different spheres of life, may it be the workplace, home or public life. Sexual harassment, rape and other means of forced sexual relationships continue to top the list of offences that are committed daily in this democratic nation. The dignity, human rights and freedom of women is not only under attack, but is also undermined. Any theology that attempts to ignore or turn a blind eye when females are reduced to objects is not only irrelevant, but it does not deserve to exist at all. Practical theology of liberation argues that theology must begin from human pain. The plight of women in celebrating 16 years of 16 days of activism during December cannot be relegated to the ears of the government and gender related NGO’s alone, but it demands the interpretation and praxis of theology. A lot of theologians wrote much about being the voice of the voiceless women, but not much has been done to eliminate these violations and abuses.

**3. The concept of hospitality**

**3.1. Brief background**

The unconditional readiness to share, giving freely and an extension of generosity has characterized what we call African hospitality for many decades. It includes to help, to assist, to love and to carry one another’s burden (Echema, 1995:35). According to Olikenyi (2001:102) it is a vital aspect of existence in Africa and it is still practiced by many Africans despite the forces of external influence. Mbiti (1969:1) acknowledged that it permeates all spheres of African life. There is a sex hospitality background from the ancient near East, particularly from the Hebrew bible according to Gur-Klein (2003). The book entitled “*Sex and family in the Bible and the Middle East*” by Hungarian Jewish historian, Raphael Patai (1959) presents patriarchal hospitality which went so far as to even override women’s chastity.

According to Gur-Klein:

The custom has been practiced among tribal, nomadic and decentralized societies and has been practiced from Yemen through Central and North Arabia, North Africa and Australia and from Egypt to Afghanistan. The origin of the custom seems to be rooted in ancient times, surviving into and often tolerated by the Islamic era. Commonly, the man concerned is an outsider and not a tribesman. The outsider and/or guest would be led by a family member who thus plays the procurer. The template may vary from one community to another. In some tribes sexual hospitality concerns unmarried daughters, while in other tribes only married women will practise it.

In order to emphasize that the practice of sex hospitality is derived from ancient biblical times, Gur-Klein quoted Genesis 19 and Judges 19. The first is the story of Sodom and Gomora, when the men of the city in which Lot lived surrounded his house and demanded him to release the guests who were there. They wanted to have sex with those guests but Lot refused and said: “Look, I have two daughters who have never slept with a man. Let me bring them out to you, and you can do what you like with them” (Gen.19:8). This depicts that females were availed to offer hospitality with regard to sexual gratification of any kind of guest. In other words Christian religion is not innocent with regard to gender inequality, which amongst other things manifested itself through availing women as agents of sexual hospitality.

**3.2. What is sexual hospitality and how was it entrenched**

The Longman dictionary defines hospitality as a friendly behaviour towards visitors (Longman, 2001:691). The original Greek translation goes on to say “love of strangers” went beyond just ordinary visitors to even strangers (Vine, 1981: 235). One of the most prominent characteristics of being African is hospitality. To sum up the definition of hospitality the author refers to the act of being available and friendly to receive visitors, and even strangers. Sexual hospitality was evident during the times of slavery, where slaves were always ready to offer their bodies for a trifle and towards evening one could see a string of soldiers and sailors entering the slave lodge (Van den Berghe, 1965:18). For the sake of this study, I would suggest that hospitality be understood in terms of what Gathogo (2006:1) refers to when saying that it is an extension of generosity, given freely without strings attached. The uniqueness of African hospitality is that it has less pretence and a more natural approach, the principle of sharing the joys and sorrows of this life.

Good practice as it is from Ancient Biblical Patriarchs to Africans, it has been revealed many times that hospitality was also used to subject women to male domination. In her article entitled: “Without a voice, with a violated body” Masenya (2012:209) clearly confirms that hospitality was essentially shown by women. She went on to argue that Judges 19 is a portrayal of the gendered act of hospitality. This is evidenced when the man was confronted with a challenge to show hospitality and make peace with the Israelite strangers, his first option was to offer his own wife so that at least he would be at peace with strangers, at the expense of his wife (2012:211).

One popular Tsonga idiom says: ‘*Wanhwana I huku yo khomela vayeni*’ (Daughter is a hen to be caught for visitors) (Junod, 1990:74-75). Junod went on to motivate this saying by indicating that when a girl or daughter marries, she leaves her village and hence she is like a hen which you must catch to receive your guests. There can be different objective or subjective interpretations of this idiom, but the main one would refer to girls or daughters as people being made available to visitors. The role of culture and idioms cannot be undermined when dealing with issues of gender inequality amongst African people. These sayings have been traded from one generation to the next. They have their role in shaping the lives and identity of African people. When this saying is transmitted to the young girls the intention is to make them feel, even at a young age, that they are growing up simply to be available for men’s pleasure. It was for this reason that even the raising up of girls was accompanied by the stereotypes that promoted male dominance. It connects back to the idea of why the birth of males is always more important than that of females in the African context.

In order to motivate the argument of daughters and women being used for sexual hospitality there is the issue of “*ku hlayisa*” which literally means to “take care.” In XiTsonga there is a close relationship between “*ku hlayisa”* and “*ku teka*” and these two are often used as synonyms (marrying and taking care of). In Baloyi (2015:483) it has been indicated that when the family agrees, suggests or even forces widows to marry a brother or relative of the deceased, the concept that is emphasized is that she needs to be taken care of. It should be remembered that in the African context, one form of hospitality is evidenced by taking care. This is regardless of whether or not the widow has been left with the financial means to take care of herself. Therefore this clearly indicates that there is a special “care” that a single or unmarried woman deserves, and must have. According to Baloyi (2015:483) this compassion is fulfilled in sexual gratification.

This is the context from which we must understand this saying. This saying is not far from what happened in Judges 19 where the father was ready to show his hospitality by availing his own daughter to the sexual fulfilment of a stranger. Judges 19:24 reads: “Look, here is my virgin daughter, and his concubine. I will bring them out to you now, and you can use them and do to them whatever you wish. But as for this man, don’t do such an outrageous thing.” Although it is not the main focus of this study, it can be suspected that there was a custom of making peace with hostile strangers by sacrificing their daughters.

Masenya (2003:107) is correct in arguing that hospitality in this context was /is basically shown by women. It is for this reason that the general perception is that a single woman or widow must have someone to take care of her. Another example can be found in Genesis 19 where Lot was ready to give his daughters to strangers, which can often be misinterpreted to condone this kind of practice. Genesis 19:8 reads: “Look, I have two daughters who have never slept with a man. Let me bring them out to you, and you can do what you like with them. But don’t do anything to these men, for they have come under the protection of my roof.”

Unfortunately, women are also made to internalize these kinds of thoughts. We often find women who feel incomplete without sexual relationships. One testimony of this can be read from Nyathi’s (2015:2) report about an incident where disabled women in Mpumalanga are crying that men disregard them for sexual gratification. Mbuyane Jeaneth, the representative of the Masoyi Protective Workshop, an NGO dealing with disability, was quoted as saying: “We are human beings and have feelings like any other citizen. We also have wombs to carry babies like any other woman.” This report vowed that disabled people have a right to demand their right to sex (Nyathi, 2015:2). Whether this right should be demanded from where or who, the report did not clarify. Unfortunately, no mention of marriage appeared in that report, only sex. If disabled women reach this kind of a demand, then there must be a good to reason to understand that they regard themselves as incomplete without sexual intercourse. This stereotype speaks to the idea that single women in the African context are often suspected of a lack of feelings of intimacy (Baloyi, 2010:725), not living normal lifestyle (Reynolds, 2008:1230) and other socially related stigmas.

According to Mbiti (1990:143), there are areas where sex is used as an expression of hospitality. It is understood to be an issue of hospitality towards women who need to fulfil such a desire. On the other hand, if a man visits another, the custom is for the host to give his wife, daughter or sister as a welcoming gesture towards the guest. That is why in cases where the husband is forced by circumstances to live away from his wife for a long time, it may be arranged for a friend (particularly a husband’s brother) to have sexual intercourse with the deserted wife (Kyomo & Selvan 2004:85).

**3.3. The misunderstandings of the role of women in the family and society**

The misunderstanding and misinterpretation of the role of the woman in sexual relationships which has been orchestrated by patriarchy, gives the wrong picture of women. That is why many women, particularly singles are seen as people who are vulnerable to sex by men. Their actions are usually interpreted to be an invitation for sex from men. Jacob Zuma was quoted as saying: “And I said to myself, I know as we grew up in the Zulu culture you don’t leave a woman in that situation because if you do then she will even have you arrested and say that you are a rapist” (Waetien, 2009:2). Although the woman did not give her own perception about this statement, for the focus of this study it is important to translate that this kind of perception about women does not only fall within generalization, but also the portrayal of women as vulnerable people on issues of sex. Without the arrest of this understanding and situation, it becomes very difficult to imagine women without sexual capabilities. The entrenchment of this thinking informs the author of see the correctness in Masenya (2003:102) when she argued:

It becomes understandable that male-oriented societies have a tendency to confuse or even equate sexual activity with sexual violence. It is even more disturbing for religious communities, that some sections of the sacred scriptures are interpreted to support sexual violence against women and children. Women’s sexuality is often defined and controlled by men both in the churches and in the households. Female bodies become sacrifices at the altars of male power and pleasure.

It is very disturbing that the normalization of gender disparities is not just against the constitution of this country which emphasizes the promotion of gender equality, but it also dehumanizes women as well as violating their human rights. That is the danger of trying to interpret women’s rights in isolation from human rights. Such is a view partly traded from people like Napoleon who said: “The male is assumed to posses a sort of generic human identity, whereas the female has more of sexual identity” (Groothuis, 1994:33). The author is in full agreement with Gur-Klein (2003) that the custom is inherently male oriented as it concerns a situation of patriarchal hospitality in which female chastity is waived for the pleasure of a male guest. The few tales that we read about with regard to this practice portray only women on the receiving end, meanwhile men are just guests to be shown hospitality. One can still ask when will men offer themselves for this kind of hospitality. This is a total reduction of females into just mere agents of hospitality or sexual objects.

**3.4. The role of media in portraying women as sexual hospitality givers**

There have been many complaints as to how our media handles pornography, which in one way or another helps in promoting the agenda of women as mere sexual objects. Cloete’s (2012) article entitled, “Youth culture, media and sexuality” unveils much of the influence of the media on youth about sex related matters. Cloete argues that the 93.3% growth in the accessibility of the internet between 2000 and 2008 is an indication of the exposure of the younger generation to issues related to pornography and other negative material. South Africa does not have laws to prevent children from being exposed to pornography at a very young age. One family therapist was quoted by Cloete (2012:08) as saying: “In my practice I have seen numerous teens from as young as 11 who become involved in very disturbing sexual situations, for example teens photographing each other having sexual intercourse, orgies, having oral sex, etc and selling it as pornography on the internet.”

Elisabeth Le Roux (2009) in her article entitled: “Pornography: human right or human rights violation?” argued that though the Commission on Gender made a lot of complaints to the government on the regulation of TV programs which advertise women’s bodies as a promotion of sexual deeds, it seems that complaints fell on deaf ears as some TV Channels continue to show pornography even during horus when children are still awake. The author’s articulation is that this has to do with money because the TV channels are perhaps giving more money to the government to an extent that the voice of the government compromised. Mpumelelo (2005:8) also added that the advertisements that portray women as sexual objects are seen on billboards everywhere. Children growing up in front of these challenges are therefore likely to internalize what they see or hear as part of their lives.

**3.5. What about HIV/AIDS and related sicknesses**?

Although it has been proven by medical practitioners that sexual intercourse is not the only path through which people can contract HIV/AIDS, it should always be remembered that a large number of infections occur through unfaithfulness, meaning multiple sex partners. Therefore, if women are available to offer hospitality in sexual way, their vulnerability to be affected by the virus becomes a huge risk. Besides women being infected, the possibility is that they will pass the sickness to the next person they host. It can also be the other way round, that the hosted stranger infects the innocent woman who offers this hospitality. For the author, sex hospitality is another form of prostitution or sex work. Sex workers in many countries have been the most vulnerable to being infected with HIV/AIDS. It has been alleged recently that the majority of sex workers in Johannesburg are HIV positive, meaning they are likely to infect as many visitors and strangers as possible (Child, 2015:10).

1. **THE WAY FORWARD**

There is relevance in asking whether the biblical passages in which the evidence of sacrificing females for the sake of showing hospitality or making peace can justify the practice (see comments on Gen.19 and Judges 19 in the background). No one can deny that the role of the understanding and interpretation of these verses in availing women for sexual gratification even for stranger is an argument that holds water. But the fact of the matter is that these verses are an indication of how the earlier biblical people, particularly patriarchs subjected women to oppression and abuse. The trading of such practices when Christianity was brought into Africa played a significant role in pushing the agenda of entrenchment of women as mere objects of sex. Allowing one’s daughter to be sexually abused even by multiple rapists was treated as a minor transgression in the ancient Eastern customs, particularly because of the low status of the young women in the society. Old Hebrew cultural treatment of women confirmed that according to them, women were not only the devil’s doorway (Tertulian), but also a second blunder of God (Van Wyk, 1985:38).

Men thins women may not say no to any proposal. Women who are raped and forced into sexual subordination are because men never expect a hospitable person to say no. That is why even if women say no to men’s proposals, they are regarded as if they are joking and hence sex is taken from them by force. Lopez in Baloyi (2009:109) is very correct is saying that “sex can be stolen by rape, persuaded by seduction, rented through prostitution and leased by marriage”. It is within this context that women’s worth has been reduced so drastically. The author’s argument is that if women are reduced to sex, just as Lopez went on to argue, then their worth is even less than that of domestic animals. In the male dominant understanding, every woman is perceived to be craving sex and there is no expectation that she will say no when asked.

1. **COUNSELLING WOMEN**

It is of paramount importance that young and old women should be informed that they are not to blame for being made sexually vulnerable people, but the system of patriarchy is to blame. Bringing back the worth and dignity of women also means they must have a right to personal integrity of their mind and of their bodies (Waruta and Kinothi, 2000:131). Women must be taught about sexual matters as much as possible while they are still very young so that they know what to expect as they grow older. Even the way young girls are raised, must show them the good and bad in life. The issue of raising girls to be available for men, implying that even education is not important for them, should be an issue of history. Pastoral counsellors must be ready to exploit gatherings like indabas, community gatherings and other forums to educate parents on the worth of a girl child’s life. Counsellors and ministers must take the projects of awareness about HIV/AIDS and other related negative effects of irresponsible sexual behaviours to the community (Chamisa, and Mjoli, 2014)

1. **MEN HAVE A ROLE TO PLAY IN LIBERATING WOMEN**

The discussions and research targeted at eliminating and arresting the situation of gender inequality in South Africa have been done in a wide range of disciplines, but they do not emphasize the involvement of men. Connell (2003:3) raises the same opinion when arguing that although equality between women and men is recognized as a principle in international law, it is evidenced that issues concerning gender equality are placed on the public agenda mainly by women. To add to that, Cornell indicates that men and boys are in several ways the gatekeepers for gender equality. The author’s opinion is that if men are at the forefront of this struggle, half of the battle would have already been won. Without making generalizations, the truth is that more damage on the issues of subjecting women has been structured by men. It is the very same men who can help to reverse the situation by becoming part of the struggle against that which they have started. Oliphant (2015:1) admits that: “Although violence against women is ‘notoriously hard to measure’ there is ample evidence that physical, sexual and psychological violence as well harmful traditional practices committed against women and girls is widespread in the country [and] often the perpetrator is a male partner or family member”. James Cone’s (1982:122) principle which says, “Afflict the oppressor in support of the oppressed”, indicates clearly that it is unwise to deal only with recipients of injustice while the perpetrators are left to run free. This is what made the case of the woman caught in adultery (John 8:1-11) a difficult one for the Pharisees, luckily Jesus did not ask them about the man who had committed adultery with the woman. According to Baloyi (2010:1) the sin of adultery is always committed by two people of different sexes therefore the reason why the Jews brought only the woman to Jesus was a biased one. In the same vein, Meyers (2000:455) is in the same dilemma that the partner is absent from the story. This is an indication that the patriarchal agenda pushes the women under judgement, while men are let free.

In supporting men’s involvement in the liberation of women from gender inequality, Ratele and Botha (2014:1) indicate that the Medical Research Council and University of South Africa have shown that males are six to seven times more likely to be killed than females. The neglect of men’s role in gender disparities is perhaps one of the reasons of slow change in this challenge. The author is in agreement with Porter (2014:1) who urges men to get involved in the fight for gender equality which also asks men to speak out against violence and discrimination faced by women and girls around the world.

1. **THEOLOGICAL ETHICS OF SEX AND HOSPITALITY**

Hospitality has shaped the lives of many people throughout generations. That is why Chester (2011:89) argued: “Hospitality has always been integral to the story of God’s people. Abraham set the agenda when he offered three strangers water for their feet and food for their bodies. In so doing he entertained God Himself and received afresh promise (Gen.18:1-18).” Martin (2014:1) indicates that the efforts to revive the ancient Christian practice of hospitality has been focused and drawn from the Old Testament as a model to be emulated. The writer of Hebrews (13:2) urges: “Do not neglect hospitality.”

Guests are normally treated with great respect and are not expected to compensate the host (Koening, 1992:229). Despite the fact that hospitality includes a guarantee of protection for the guest, there were also risks of deception involved (Yong, 2008:111). It was for that reason that the host tried to protect his guests in Judges 19:23 saying: “The owner of the house went outside and said to them, ‘No, my friends, don't be so vile. Since this man is my guest, don't do this outrageous thing.’”

In another incident which looks similar, Lot find himself in defence: “Lot went outside to meet them and shut the door behind him and said, ’No, my friends. Don’t do this wicked thing. Look, I have two daughters who have never slept with a man. Let me bring them out to you, and you can do what you like with them. But don’t do anything to these men, for they have come under the protection of my roof.’” (Gen.19:6-8).

The Old Testament also teaches that hospitality normally includes water for washing the feet, food, drink, rest and acre for animals. This was one of the characteristics used to find a wife. That is the gesture that Abrabam’s servant waited for when looking for a wife for Isaac in Genesis 24:12-21. The prayer of the servant from Genesis 24:14 said: “May it be that when I say to a young woman, 'Please let down your jar that I may have a drink,' and she says, 'Drink, and I'll water your camels too'--let her be the one you have chosen for your servant Isaac. By this I will know that you have shown kindness to my master." After a short while Rebecca reiterated what the prayer said as an indication of the answer. Perhaps it was from this chapter that some people overestimated hospitality into another form of abuse.

God created sex and gave it for the sexual gratification of married people. The Biblical purposes of sex must be clarified and explained to help to arrest and destroy the misunderstandings about sex. Theologically, during the creation of sex there was no harmful plan to either of the two genders. Nowhere did God initiate sex with the aim of oppressing one gender by the other. It is unbiblical to use sex as a weapon of male dominance. Maciejczak (2013:1) detailed the exploration of sexual violence as a weapon of war.

The Bible indicates that firstly, Adam and Eve were adults (man and woman) when God married and blessed them (Genesis 1:28) saying: “God blessed them and said to them, ‘Be fruitful and increase in number; fill the earth and subdue it. Rule over the fish in the sea and the birds in the sky and over every living creature that moves on the ground.’” One of the biggest mistakes made by contemporary people is not to deal with the question of how old the couple was when they received this blessing. That is where young girls and boys start disturbing and destroying their future with sexual matters which are meant for adults. Secondly, God gave sex into marriage. Although some theologians believe that a asexual union is representative of the intimacy found in the Trinity, for this study Slick (no year:1) argues that is it only in marriage between a husband and wife where sexual intercourse is properly expressed.

Thirdly, He also explained the purposes of sexual intercourse. Slick (no year:1) lists four purposes of sex which are procreation (Gen.1:28), intimacy (Song 1:13), companionship (Song 3:1) and physical pleasure (Song 1:2). The New Testament also continues from the teachings of the Old Testament in sexual matters. Paul insists that it is man and women who are married who should give each other sexual pleasure. In partial support of this view, Hornor (2002:2) emphasizes that sex was designed to express love. Mohler (2004:1) clearly indicates that the Bible places sex and sexual activity within a larger context of holiness and faithfulness and this helps to reveal that gender is part of the goodness of creation. From these few views, it becomes even clearer that sex was not created to harm or oppress any person between the two genders. The abuse of sex is the root cause of sex related issues. The more sex is done for the wrong reasons as well as outside of wedlock, the more troubles and complications it brings to human life. This also calls for sex education for young children. Their better understanding of the intentions of sex can bring more respect as well dignity to this commonly abused gift. The churches, schools and homes should have a place and time where children are taught about sexual matters. The preachers must not shy away from telling this truth in love. Pastoral care givers and marriage officers must emphasize sexually related issues during their premarital counselling. The truth is that although the media has a very big influence on the youth regarding sex related issues today, research shows that parents and family life still have the greatest influence on the life of children (McDowell, 2006:59-60, Cloete, 2012:09).

There is no single biblical ground for sex to be used for hospitality and hence the sex educators and Biblical preachers must play their role in separating the two issues. The connection that people make between sex and hospitality is not only unfounded, but a dangerous heresy that aims to defile and subjugate women under male abusive dominion.

1. **CONCLUSION**

The relegation of women to providers of sexual hospitality assists in pushing them not only to subjection, but also to inhuman status. This is another form of making women prostitutes in the name of hospitality. Patriarchal systems and structures ensure that sex amongst other things, is used to dominate and reduce the status of women. It is the duty of everyone, men and other stakeholders to play their roles in trying to redeem the rightful status of women in society. The government has already voiced its stand through institutions like the Commission on Gender which is trying to protect the rights and dignity of women in particular. It is now the responsibility of the church and other community stakeholders to involve themselves in the fight against some of the traditional attitudes and ways of subjecting and oppressing women. Women’s rights must be understood in the context of human rights and that kind of framework helps to ensure that their dignity and rights are observed and protected by men, who used to be their exploiters. From a Biblical point of view there was no single harmful or oppressive intention when sex was created and given to human beings. The correct teaching about sex at home and schools may play an important role in the fight against availing women and girls for sexual hospitality.
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